NEW YORK
LONDON
SINGAPORE
PHILADELPHIA
CHICAGO
WASHINGTON, DC
SAN FRANCISCO
SILICON VALLEY
SAN DIEGO
LOS ANGELES
TAIWAN
BOSTON
HOUSTON
AUSTIN
HANOI

HO CHI MINH CITY



FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

JENNIFER PHILBRICK MCARDLE DIRECT DIAL: +1 212 404 8763 PERSONAL FAX: +1 212 818 9606 E-MAIL: JPMcArdle@duanemorris.com

www.duanemorris.com

SHANGHAI
ATLANTA
BALTIMORE
WILMINGTON
MIAMI
BOCA RATON
PITTSBURGH
NEWARK
LAS VEGAS
CHERRY HILL
LAKE TAHOE
MYANMAR

Application GRANTED. The parties shall file the proposed case management plan by the earlier date of July 9, 2021, or fourteen days after a decision on Defendant Cengage Learning, Inc.'s objection (ECF No. 97) to the Report & Recommendation (ECF No. 94).

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to close ECF No. 96.

SO-ORDERED 5/7/2021

VIA ECF

May 6, 2021

Hon. Sarah L. Cave Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

nited States Magistrate Judge

Dear Magistrate Judge Cave:

Re:

Defendant Cengage Learning, Inc. submits this letter motion to respectfully request, with the consent of Plaintiffs, the adjournment of a deadline set in the Court's Interim Scheduling Order (Dkt. 71) (the "Order"). In particular, the Order provides that, "[w]ithin fourteen (14) days of the undersigned's decision on the Motion for Leave to File a First Amended Complaint," the parties shall "submit a proposed Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order." Order at ¶ 5. Your Honor issued the decision contemplated by that Order on April 22, 2021 in the form of a Report and Recommendation to Judge Carter (the "R&R," see Dkt. 95), which would set the deadline for the submission of a proposed case management plan as May 6, 2021.

Bernstein et al v. Cengage Learning, Inc., Case No. 1:19-cv-07541-ALC-SLC

Cengage intends to file an objection with respect to that R&R. Although Plaintiffs do not intend to file any objection to the R&R, the parties have agreed to request an adjournment of the deadline for a case management plan and scheduling order until the earlier of fourteen (14) days after a decision with respect to the R&R by Judge Carter, or July 9, 2021. In the event Judge Carter has not issued such a decision by July 9, 2021, both parties reserve all rights and arguments with respect to whether a case management plan and scheduling order is appropriate as of that date. The parties have agreed to this proposed adjournment in an effort to avoid a dispute while Judge Carter reviews Cengage's objection.



Hon. Sarah L. Cave May 6, 2021 Page 2

No previous requests for an adjournment of this deadline have been made.

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifer Philbrick McArdle

cc: All attorneys of record, via ECF